Council Agenda - 2 April 2020 - Agenda Item 9.1 Attachment 1 - Representations 80-82 Invermay Road and 1 and 3 Taylor Street Invermay

Sent:

10 Feb 2020 21:49:17 +1100

To:

Contact Us

Subject:

Objection to Ref: SF6891, DA0671/2018, Amendment 51

Attachments:

Objection to Appliction 3 Taylor Street.pdf

Importance:

Normal

To whom it may concern,

Michael Stretton

Chief Executive Officer

Please find attachment outlying my objections to Ref: SF6891, DA0671/2018, Amendment 51 As posted on your web site.

Regards

Robert Linnett

Document Set ID: 426**7330** Version: 1, Version Date: 13/02/2020 To 10-Jan-2020

Michael Stretton

Chief Executive Officer

ATTENTION - OBJECTION TO THE BELOW PROPOSAL

Notice of Application for Combined Planning Scheme Amendment and Permit Application

Sections 38 & 43F(4) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (former provisions)

Ref: SF6891, DA0671/2018, Amendment 51

- I would be greatly impacted by the constant noise associated with fork lifts and heavy vehicle movements if this site was rezoned to "bulky goods sales",
- The proposed expansion of this site, brings more heavy traffic to a quiet residential area, which is already very congested due to it being situated on a very busy corner of Invermay Road and Taylor Street.
- As admitted by the applicant in their application (Shown below). The applicant is already illegally using General Residential 3 Taylor Street which is prohibited under the existing zoning laws. Also the boundary fence between part of the subject site (3 Taylor Street) and 1 Taylor Street has been removed to allow illegal use and access.





Figure 6: Site aerial showing titles relating to Rossetto Tiling Supplies. (Source: LISTmap)

Approval to rezone the backyard of 3 Taylor Street, would seem like reward to the applicant for breaching Council's planning laws.

- If approval is given to the applicant to expand operations into the backyard of 3 Taylor Street what next, demolition of the private residence on 3 Taylor Street? Who would purchase the property in future if the backyard was zoned "bulky goods sales"?
- The applicant states in their submission "application seeks a more appropriate zone designation be applied to the rear of the site". When there is a housing shortage, any attempt to change an existing residential dwelling to bulky goods sales is not a more appropriate use of the property.

I hope the council gives this correspondence due consideration.

In good faith

Robert Linnett

From:

Boyle, David

Sent:

12 Nov 2019 16:53:58 +1100

To:

Planning Admin

Subject:

RE: Development Application Referral - TWDA2018/02011-LCC

Dear Sir/Madam

Now that the apllicant has provided the plans, TasWater will issue the following

Pursuant to the Water and Sewerage Industry Act 2008 (TAS) Section 56P(1) TasWater has assessed the application for the above mentioned permit and has determined that the proposed development did not require a referral and therefore does not require a submission from TasWater as the *new lot 2a will be adhered to the existing lot 2* will not;

- a. Increase the demand for water supplied by TasWater; or
- b. Increase the amount of sewage or toxins that is to be removed by, or discharged into, TasWater sewerage infrastructure; or
- c. Damage or interfere with TasWater works; or
- d. Adversely affect TasWater operations.

If you have any queries, please contact me.

Regards

David Boyle

Development Assessment Manager (Acting)



D (03) 6345 6323

F 1300 862 066

A GPO Box 1393, Hobart TAS 7001

36-42 Charles Street, Launceston, TAS 7250

E <u>david.boyle@taswater.com.au</u>

W http://www.taswater.com.au/

Have I been helpful? Please provide feedback by clicking here.

From: Planning Admin <PlanningAdmin@launceston.tas.gov.au>

Sent: Friday, 8 November 2019 8:40 AM

To: TasWater - Development < Development@taswater.com.au > **Subject:** Development Application Referral - TWDA2018/02011-LCC

Document Set ID: 4266835 Version: 1, Version Date: 13/03/2029